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Abstract. We construct a cocycle on an infinite dimensional generalization of a \( p \)-summable Fredholm module. Our framework is related to Connes’ cyclic cohomology and is motivated by our work on index theory on infinite dimensional manifolds. The \( p \)-summability condition is characteristic of dimension \( O(p) \). We replace this assumption by the requirement that there exists an underlying heat kernel which is trace class. Then we use the heat kernel to regularize states in dimension-independent fashion. Our cocycle may be interpreted as an infinite dimensional Chern character.

I. Introduction

This paper continues our work on index theory on infinite dimensional manifolds \([8 \cdot 12]\) and connects it with Connes’ theory of cyclic cohomology \([2 \cdot 4]\). Our aim is to formulate non-commutative differential geometry in an infinite dimensional setting. We define the notion of a quantum algebra and formulate a cohomology theory for such algebras. Our framework is similar to Connes’ theory of \( \Theta \)-summable Fredholm modules \([4]\).

Non-trivial, infinite-dimensional examples of these structures arise from existence theorems for two-dimensional, supersymmetric, i.e. \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded quantum fields. In this case, the underlying, infinite-dimensional manifold is the loop space of a finite-dimensional manifold \( M \), namely the space \( AM \) of smooth maps from the circle to \( M \),

\[
AM = \{ \phi : S^1 \to M \}.
\] (I.1)

The field theory examples constructed so far \([8 \cdot 12]\) arise from the choices \( M = \mathbb{C} \) and \( M = \mathbb{R} \). They yield a Hilbert space

\[
\mathcal{H} = L_2(AM) \oplus L_2(AM),
\]
defined in terms of a measure $d\mu$ on the space of functions on $AM$. In these examples, there is a Dirac operator $Q$ on $\mathcal{H}$, whose Atiyah-Singer index we have computed in [8–12]. Another example of these structures arising from representation theory is given in [3].

In order to find further invariants, we follow the methods of Connes. However, his main analytic tool, the $p$-summability assumption, cannot be used in the infinite dimensional context. Motivated by our field theory models, we propose to replace $p$-summability by heat kernel regularization. That means that we set

$$H = Q^2,$$

and use the trace class operator $\exp(-\beta H)$ to regularize the states we study. This allows us to construct a coboundary operator and a character form which is a cocycle, even though our algebras are not $p$-summable Fredholm modules. An alternative proposal along these lines was given in [4].

We define a quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra of operators on a Hilbert space, with certain additional structure, including a graded derivation $d$.

Our cocycle $\tau^\beta$ has an $n = 2k^\text{th}$ component $\tau_n^\beta(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ of the general form,

$$(-\beta)^{-n/2} \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq \beta} \text{Str}(a_0 da_1(t_1)da_2(t_2) \ldots da_n(t_n)e^{-\beta H})dt; \quad (1.2)$$

see Sect. 5 for a complete definition. The cohomology classes defined by $\tau^\beta$ are independent of $\beta$.

We plan to use this cocycle to investigate other invariants of the theory. Heat kernel regularization allows us to work with functional integral representations of the cocycle, as we already did in the discussion of the index of $Q$ [8–12].

II. Quantum Algebras

Quantum algebras arise as a mathematical abstraction of graded (supersymmetric) quantum field theory.

**Definition II.1.** A quantum algebra is a quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma, Q)$ with the following structures (i)–(v):

(i) *The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}$.* The space $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable Hilbert space over $\mathbb{C}$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ acts as a unital algebra of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$.

(ii) $\Gamma$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading of $\mathcal{A}$, of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, and of $\mathcal{H}$. The operator $\Gamma$ is a selfadjoint, unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Thus $\Gamma$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading operator for $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, \hspace{1cm} (II.1)

where $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are the positive and negative eigenspaces of $\Gamma$. Furthermore $\Gamma$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, the algebra of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$, namely

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_-, \quad (II.2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_\pm$ are the diagonal entries of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to the decomposition (II.1). This is equivalent to writing $A = A_+ + A_-$, where

$$A_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(A \pm A^\Gamma), \quad \text{and} \quad A^\Gamma \equiv \Gamma A \Gamma. \quad (II.3)$$
Thus $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_{\pm}$ are respectively even or odd under conjugation by $\Gamma$. We define $\deg(A_+) = 0$, $\deg(A_-) = 1$, so

$$A^\Gamma_{\pm} = (-1)^{\deg(A_\pm)}A_{\pm}.$$  

We require that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is invariant under conjugation by $\Gamma$, namely $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma = \Gamma \mathcal{A} \Gamma \subset \mathcal{A}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_+ \oplus \mathcal{A}_-.$$  

(iii) $Q$ is selfadjoint and odd. The operator $Q$ is a selfadjoint transformation on $\mathcal{H}$ and

$$Q^\Gamma = -Q.$$  

Thus

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q^- \\ Q^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$  

where $Q_{\pm} : \mathcal{H}_{\pm} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ and $Q^\Gamma = Q^\ast_{\pm}$. Furthermore we define

$$H = Q^2 = \begin{pmatrix} Q^\ast_+ & 0 \\ 0 & Q^\ast_- \end{pmatrix}.$$  

In our examples, $Q$ is a Dirac operator and $H$ is a Hamiltonian (or a Laplacian).

(iv) The heat kernel is trace class. We assume that for all $\beta > 0$, $\exp(-\beta H)$ is trace class.

(v) $\mathcal{A}$ has a graded derivation $d$. We assume that each operator in $Q \mathcal{A}$ is densely defined on $\mathcal{H}$. Thus we can define

$$da = [iQ, a_+] + \{iQ, a_-\},$$  

where $[a, b] = ab - ba$ and $\{a, b\} = ab + ba$. We assume that

$$d : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A},$$  

or in other words

$$d : \mathcal{A}_\pm \to \mathcal{A}_\mp.$$  

It follows that

$$da = i\Gamma [\Gamma Q, a].$$  

We define the norm

$$\|a\|_* = \|a\| + \|da\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm. $\mathcal{A}$ is a normed algebra with respect to $\|\cdot\|_*$,

$$\|a_1a_2\|_* \leq \|a_1\|_* \|a_2\|_*.$$  

For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ note that

$$a = (a^\Gamma)^\Gamma,$$

$$\{da\}^\Gamma = -d(a^\Gamma).$$
and for \( a, b \in \mathcal{A} \),
\[
d(ab) = (da)b + a^T(db) .
\]
(II.15)

Thus we also obtain
\[
d(a_1a_2 \ldots a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_1 \ldots a_{j-1})^T da_j(a_{j+1} \ldots a_n) .
\]
(II.16)

As a special case,
\[
d(a_1 da_2 \ldots da_n) = da_1 da_2 \ldots da_n + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (-1)^j(a_1^T \ldots da_{j-1}^T) d^2 a_j(da_{j+1} \ldots da_n) .
\]
(II.17)

For \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( 0 \leq t \), define
\[
a(t) = e^{-iH} a e^{iH} .
\]
(II.18)

Clearly \( a(t) \) is an (unbounded) operator with domain \( \text{Range}(\exp(-tH)) \). Hence \( Qa(t) \) and \( a(t)Q \) are densely defined and we set
\[
d(a(t)) = e^{-iH} da e^{iH} = (da)(t) .
\]

**Proposition II.2.** For \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( t \geq 0 \),
\[
d^2 a(t) = \frac{d}{dt} a(t) .
\]
(II.19)

**Proof.** By assumption \( d: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \), so \( d^2 a(t) \) is well defined. Furthermore from (II.9) and the identities
\[
\{iQ, [iQ, a]\} = -[Q^2, a] = [iQ, \{iQ, a]\} ,
\]
we obtain (II.19).

Let \( I_p \) denote the \( p \)-th Schatten class of bounded operators on \( \mathcal{H} \) with the norm
\[
\|A\|_p = (\text{Tr}(A^*A)^{p/2})^{1/p} .
\]
(II.20)

For \( A \in I_1 \), we define the supertrace by
\[
\text{Str}(A) = \text{Tr}(\Gamma A) .
\]
(II.21)

**Definition II.3.** Let \( A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \), then \( A^{(\varepsilon)} = e^{-\varepsilon H} A e^{-\varepsilon H} \) is the heat kernel regularization of \( A \).

**Proposition II.4.** The heat kernel regularization of \( A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \) is trace class: \( A^{(\varepsilon)} \in I_1 \), for \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

**Proof.** Since \( e^{-\varepsilon H} \) is assumed to be trace class for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the desired fact follows from Hölder’s inequality on \( I_1 \).

**Proposition II.5.** Let \( A = B^{(\varepsilon)} \) be the heat kernel regularization of \( B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \). Then
\[
\text{Str}(dA) = 0 .
\]
(II.22)

**Proof.** With our assumptions, both \( QA \) and \( AQ \) are bounded. Also by (II.10),
\[
\text{Str}(dA) = i \text{Tr}([\Gamma Q, A]) = i \text{Tr}(\Gamma QA - A\Gamma Q) .
\]
(II.23)
Let \( R = e^{-\epsilon i H/2} \). Then using the fact that \( \text{Tr}(CD) = \text{Tr}(DC) \) for \( C \) bounded and \( D \in I_1 \), we have

\[
\text{Tr}(\Gamma QA) = \text{Tr}((\Gamma QR)RBR^2) = \text{Tr}(RBR^2\Gamma QR) = \text{Tr}(R^2BR^2\Gamma) = \text{Tr}(A\Gamma Q).
\]

Thus (II.23) vanishes and the proof is complete.

III. Cohomologies of Quantum Algebras

In this section we summarize cohomology theory of quantum algebras. Our framework is based on the work of Connes [2–4] and also Loday and Quillen [13].

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) denote a quantum algebra, and let \( \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{A}) \) denote the space of \((n + 1)\)-linear functionals on \( A \), continuous with respect to the norm \( \| \cdot \|_\infty \) of (II.11). We define a norm \( \| \cdot \|_z \) on \( \mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{A}) \) by

\[
\|f_n\|_z = \sup_{\|a_i\|_\infty = 1} |f_n(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)|.
\]

(III.1)

Let

\[
f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots)
\]

(III.2) denote a sequence of \( f_n \in \mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{A}) \). We use the following definition of Connes [4]:

**Definition III.1.** The space \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) \) of entire cochains consists of sequences (III.2) such that

\[
\|f\|_z = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n!)^{1/2} \|f_n\|_z^n
\]

(III.3)

is an entire function of \( z \). For \( z \) positive, \( \|f\|_z \) defines a norm on \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) \).

The grading \( \Gamma \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) lifts to a grading \( \Gamma \) of \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) \). The action of \( \Gamma \) on \( \mathcal{E}^n(\mathcal{A}) \) is defined by

\[
(\Gamma f_n)(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = f_n(a_0^\Gamma, \ldots, a_n^\Gamma).
\]

(III.4)

Also \( \Gamma f = (\Gamma f_0, \Gamma f_1, \Gamma f_2, \ldots) \). Thus

\[
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}_+(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_-(\mathcal{A}),
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{E}_\pm(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \Gamma)\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})
\]

(III.5)

are the even and odd cochains under \( \Gamma \).

We also decompose \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) \) according to whether \( n \) is odd or even. Write

\[
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}^o(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{E}^\alpha(\mathcal{A}),
\]

(III.6)

where

\[
(f_0, f_2, f_4, \ldots) \in \mathcal{E}^o(\mathcal{A}), \quad (f_1, f_3, f_5, \ldots) \in \mathcal{E}^\alpha(\mathcal{A}).
\]

(III.7)

Clearly,

\[
\mathcal{E}^o(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}_+(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_-(\mathcal{A}),
\]

(III.8)

\[
\mathcal{E}^\alpha(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}_+(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_-(\mathcal{A}).
\]

(III.9)
In this paper we are concerned with

$$\mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}.$$ 

An operator $$S: \mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$ of the form

$$(Sf)_n = S_n f_n,$$

where $$S_n$$ acts on $$\mathcal{C}_n$$ is called diagonal on $$\mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$. Let $$T$$ denote the diagonal operator on $$\mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$ defined by

$$(T_n f_n)(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (-1)^n f(a_n^T, a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}).$$ (III.10)

Note that $$T^{n+1} = 1$$ on $$\mathcal{C}_n^{\mathcal{A}}$$, so (III.10) defines an action of the cyclic group on $$\mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$. Also define the diagonal operator $$N$$ by

$$N_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} T_n^j.$$ (III.11)

Note that

$$(T_n - 1) N_n = 0.$$ (III.12)

We now define two coboundary operators

$$B_n: \mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$,

$$b_n: \mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$,

which anticommute.

To simplify later calculations we first introduce two operators $$U$$ and $$V$$ on $$\mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$ by setting

$$(U f_n)(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = (-1)^n f_n(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1),$$ (III.13)

$$(V f_n)(a_0, \ldots, a_{n+1}) = (-1)^{n+1} f_n(a_{n+1}, a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n).$$ (III.14)

Then we set

$$B_n = N_{n-1} U (T_n - 1),$$ (III.15)

$$b_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} T_{n+1}^{-(j+1)} V T_n^j.$$ (III.16)

Notice that $$\{B_n\}$$ and $$\{b_n\}$$ induce continuous homomorphisms $$B$$ and $$b$$ on $$\mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$ which map $$\mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$ into $$\mathcal{C}_+^{\mathcal{A}}$$ and vice versa.

More explicitly $$B_n$$ may be defined by

$$B_n = N_{n-1} B_n^0,$$

where

$$(B_n^0 f_n)(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = f_n(1, a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) + (-1)^{n-1} f_n(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1).$$

Alternatively

$$(B_n f_n)(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-j} f_n(1, a_{n-j}, \ldots, a_{n-j}, a_0, \ldots, a_{n-j-1}) + (-1)^{n-1} f_n(a_{n-j}, \ldots, a_{n-j-1}, 1)).$$ (III.17)
Similarly $b_n$ is given by
\[
(b_n f_n)(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j f_n(a_0, \ldots, a_j a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}) \\
+ (-1)^{n+1} f_n(a_{n+1}, a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n). 
\] (III.18)

**Proposition III.1.** The operators $B$ and $b$, as defined by (III.15–16) are coboundary operators, i.e.
\[
B^2 = 0, 
\] (III.19)
\[
b^2 = 0, 
\] (III.20)
which anticommute
\[
\{B, b\} = 0. 
\] (III.21)

**Proof.** a) Equation (III.19) follows from
\[
B_n B_{n+1} = N_{n-1} U(T_n - 1) N_n U(T_{n+1} - 1), 
\]
and from Eq. (III.12): $(T_n - 1) N_n = 0$.

b) To verify (III.20) we use

**Lemma 1.** For $0 \leq k \leq n+1$,
\[
V T_{n+1}^{-(k+1)} V T_n^k = - T_{n+2}^{-(k+1)} V T_{n+1}^k V. 
\]
This follows readily from (III.10, 13–14).

Now we get
\[
b_{n+1} b_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n+2} \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} T^{-r-1} V T^r V T^{-s-1} V T^s \\
= \sum_{r=1}^{n+2} \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} + \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} \sum_{r=s}^{n+1} T^{-r-1} V T^r V T^{-s-1} V T^s. 
\] (III.22)

Using Lemma 1, we write the first double sum on the right-hand side of this equation as
\[
- \sum_{r=1}^{n+2} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} T^{-s-1} V T^{s-r} V T^{-r-1} V T^s = - \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} \sum_{r=0}^{s} T^{-r-1} V T^r V T^{-s-1} V T^s \\
= - \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \sum_{s=r}^{n+1} T^{-r-1} V T^r V T^{-s-1} V T^s, 
\]
which is up to the sign equal to the second double sum in (III.22). Therefore, $b_{n+1} b_n = 0$, which proves (III.20).

c) To prove (III.21) we use

**Lemma 2.** (i) $UV = 1$.
(ii) $T_n^{-1} U T_{n+1} V = - 1$.
(iii) $T_n^r U T_{n+1}^{-1} V = - V T_n^{-1} U T_n^{-r} V$, for $1 \leq r \leq n$. 

From (III.15–16) we get

$$B_{n+1}b_n + b_{n-1}B_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (T_j^i U T_{n+1}^{-k} V T_k^i - T_i^j U T_{n+1}^{-k-1} V T_k^i) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (T_n^{-j-1} V T_{n-1}^j U T_n - T_n^{-j-1} V T_{n-1}^{j+k} U). \quad (III.23)$$

In the second double sum we change the order of $V$ and $U$, using (iii) of Lemma 2. Reordering terms and renaming the summation variables we then show that all the terms in (III.23) cancel, if we use the fact that $T_n^{n+1} = 1$. This proves (III.21) and the proof of Proposition III.1 is complete.

Now we set

$$\partial = b + B. \quad (III.24)$$

Note that

$$(\partial f)(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (b_{n-1}f_{n-1})(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) + (B_{n+1}f_{n+1})(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n). \quad (III.25)$$

Relations (III.19)–(III.21) imply that $\partial^2 = 0$, i.e., $\partial$ is a coboundary operator.

Definition III.2. We call the cohomology of the complex

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{C}^e_\beta(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}^0_\beta(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}^e_\beta(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \quad (III.26)$$

the entire cyclic cohomology of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}$. The corresponding cohomology groups are denoted by $H^e_\beta(\mathcal{A})$ and $H^0_\beta(\mathcal{A})$.

IV. The Regularized Trace Form

In this section we study a regularized trace form which is multilinear on the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^{n+1}$. The regularization of bounded operators is provided by heat kernel regularization as described in Chap. II.

Definition IV.1. Given $\beta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we denote by $F_\beta^n$ or $\langle \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta, n}$ the regularized trace form on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^{n+1}$,

$$F_\beta^n(A_0, \ldots, A_n) = \langle A_0, \ldots, A_n \rangle_{\beta, n} = \int_{\sigma_n} \text{Str}(A_0A_1(t_1) \ldots A_n(t_n)e^{-\beta H})dt. \quad (IV.1)$$

Here $\sigma_n = \sigma_n^\beta$ denotes the $n$-simplex

$$\sigma_n = \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n): 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq \beta\} \quad (IV.2)$$

and

$$A(t) = e^{-tH}Ae^{tH}. \quad (IV.3)$$

Proposition IV.2. The regularized trace form is a continuous multilinear functional and satisfies the bound

$$|F_\beta^n(A_0, \ldots, A_n)| = |\langle A_0, \ldots, A_n \rangle_{\beta, n}| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \beta^n \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} \|A_j\|. \quad (IV.3)$$
Proof. Define $t_0 \equiv 0$, $t_{n+1} \equiv \beta$, and $\delta_j = (t_j - t_{j-1})/\beta$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$. For $t \in \sigma_n$, $\sum \delta_j = 1$. Thus we can use Hölder's inequality on the integrand in (IV.1), namely on the $2n+3$ factors in the $I_t$ Schatten class

$$\Gamma A_0 A_1(t_1) \ldots A_n(t_n) e^{-\beta H} = \Gamma A_0 e^{-\beta \delta_1 H} A_1 e^{-\beta \delta_2 H} \ldots A_n e^{-\beta \delta_{n+1} H}. \quad (IV.4)$$

Choose the $I_{\infty}$ Schatten class norm [the operator norm on $L(\mathcal{H})$] for $\Gamma$ and $A_j$, and choose the $I_{\delta_j}$ norm for $\exp(-\beta \delta_j H)$, or the $L_\infty$ norm if $\delta_j = 0$. Since $\|\Gamma\| = 1$ and

$$\|e^{-\beta H}\|_{\delta_j} = (\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}))^\delta,$$

it follows that for $t \in \sigma_n$,

$$|\text{Str}(A_0 A_1(t_1) \ldots A_n(t_n))| \leq \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) \prod_{j=0}^{n+1} \|A_j\|. \quad (IV.5)$$

The volume of $\sigma_n$ is $\beta^n/n!$, yielding the desired bound.

**Proposition IV.3.** The regularized trace form satisfies

$$F_\beta^n(A_0, \ldots, A_n) = F_\beta^n(A_0^T, A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1}) = F_\beta^n(A_0^T, A_0^T, \ldots, A_n^T). \quad (IV.6)$$

Thus with $T$ defined in (III.10), $(TF_\beta)_n = (-1)^n F_\beta^n$.

**Proof.** Use the fact that $\sigma_n$ is parameterized by $\delta_j \geq 0$, $\sum \delta_j = 1$; hence $\sigma_n$ is invariant under cyclic permutation of the $\delta_j$’s. The desired identity follows from

$$\text{Str}(A_0 A_1(t_1) \ldots A_n(t_n)) = \text{Tr}(\Gamma A_0 e^{-\beta \delta_1 H} A_1 \ldots A_n e^{-\beta \delta_{n+1} H})$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\Gamma A_0^T e^{-\beta \delta_{n+1} H} A_0 e^{-\beta \delta_1 H} A_1 \ldots A_{n-1} e^{-\beta H}). \quad (IV.7)$$

**Proposition IV.4** (Integration by parts formula). Assume that $A_i \in L(\mathcal{H})$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n+1$. Then

(i) If $\dot{A}_j \in L(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$F_\beta^n(A_0, \ldots, A_{j-1}, \dot{A}_j, A_{j+1}, \ldots, A_{n+1}) = F_\beta^n(A_0, \ldots, A_{j-1}, A_j A_{j+1}, \ldots, A_{n+1})$$

$$- F_\beta^n(A_0, \ldots, A_{j-1} A_j, A_{j+1}, \ldots, A_{n+1}) \quad (IV.8)$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

(ii) If $\dot{A}_0 \in L(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$F_\beta^n(\dot{A}_0, A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n+1}) = F_\beta^n(A_0 A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n+1}) - F_\beta^n(A_1, \ldots, A_{n+1} A_0^T). \quad (IV.9)$$

(iii) If $\dot{A}_{n+1} \in L(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$F_\beta^n(A_0, \ldots, A_n, \dot{A}_{n+1}) = F_\beta^n(A_n+1 A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n) - F_\beta^n(A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n A_{n+1}). \quad (IV.10)$$

**Proof.** We prove (IV.8) for $j = 1$; then the other desired identities follow from the cyclicity property (IV.6) of the trace form $F_\beta^n$. 
Consider the integration over $t_1$ in
\[
\int_0^{t_2} \text{Str}(A_0 A_1(t_1) A_2(t_2) \ldots A_{n+1}(t_{n+1}) e^{-\beta H}) \, dt_1
\]
\[
= \text{Str}(A_0 A_1(t_2) A_2(t_2) \ldots) - \text{Str}(A_0 A_1(0) A_2(t_2) \ldots)
\]
\[
= \text{Str}(A_0 A_1 A_2(t_2) \ldots) - \text{Str}((A_0 A_1) A_2(t_2) \ldots).
\]
Here we have used the fact that $A_1(0) = A_1$. If we now integrate over $t_2, \ldots, t_n \in \sigma_{n-1}$, we obtain (IV.8) with $j = 1$.

**Proposition IV.5.** For $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$, and $dA_0, \ldots, dA_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$,
\[
\int_{\sigma_n} \text{Str}(d(A_0 A_1(t_1) \ldots A_n(t_n)) e^{-\beta H}) \, dt = 0,
\]
(IV.11)
and
\[
F_n^\beta(dA_0, dA_1, \ldots, dA_n) = - \sum_{j=1}^n F_n^\beta(A_0^j, (dA_1)^j, \ldots, (dA_{j-1})^j, A_j, dA_{j+1}, \ldots, dA_n).
\]
(IV.12)

**Proof.** Let $A = A_0 A_1(t_1) \ldots A_n(t_n) e^{-\beta H}$. We first assume that $t_n < \beta$. Thus by Proposition II.5, $\text{Str}(dA) = 0$. Since $Q$ and $T$ commute with $\exp(-\beta H)$, it follows that $dA = d(A_0 A_1(t_1) \ldots A_n(t_n)) e^{-\beta H}$. The integrand $dA$, as in the proof of Proposition IV.2, is continuous in $t$ also at the boundary. Hence the proof of (IV.11) is complete. Equation (IV.12) follows from (IV.11) and (II.16, 19).

**Proposition IV.6.** For $A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$,
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} F_n^\beta(A_0, \ldots, A_j, 1, A_{j+1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}) = \beta F_{n-1}^\beta(A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1}).
\]
(IV.13)

**Proof.** Notice that $F_n^\beta(A_0, \ldots, A_n)$ may be written as
\[
\int_{\xi_i \geq 0} \text{Str}(A_0 e^{-\xi_1 H} A_1 e^{-\xi_2 H} \ldots A_n e^{-\xi_{n-1} H}) \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \xi_i - \beta \right) \, d\xi.
\]
Hence
\[
F_n^\beta(A_0, \ldots, A_j, 1, A_{j+1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}) = \int_{\xi_i \geq 0} \text{Str}(A_0 e^{-\xi_1 H} A_1 \ldots A_j e^{-\xi_j H} \ldots A_{n-1} e^{-\xi_{n-1} H}) \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \xi_i - \beta \right) \, d\xi.
\]
Now we change variables:
\[
\xi_i = \xi_i', \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq j - 1,
\]
\[
\xi_j + \xi_{j+1} = \xi_j,
\]
\[
\xi_i = \xi_{i-1}', \quad \text{for } j + 2 \leq i \leq n + 1,
\]
\[
\xi_j = \eta.
\]
Quantum K-Theory

The range of integration of $\eta$ is the interval $[0, \xi_j']$, while all the $\xi_j'$ are only restricted by $\xi_j' \geq 0$. Nothing in the integral depends on $\eta$, thus integration over $\eta$ yields a factor $\xi_j'$. We thus find that

$$F^\beta_n(A_0, \ldots, A_j, I, A_{j+1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}) = \int_{\xi_i' \geq 0} \text{Str}(A_0 e^{-\xi_1' H} A_1 e^{-\xi_2' H} \cdots A_{n-1} e^{-\xi_n' H}) \xi_j'^\beta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i' - \beta \right) d\xi_j'. $$

Summing over $j$ and using $\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j' = \beta$ in the integral, we obtain the desired result.

V. The Character Form

In this section we construct a cocycle $\tau = \tau^\beta \in C^*_e(\mathcal{A})$. We show that $\tau$ is closed with respect to the coboundary operator $\partial$, and therefore it defines a cohomology class in $H^e_+(\mathcal{A})$. We call $\tau$ the character form.

**Definition V.1.** For $a_0, \ldots, a_{2k} \in \mathcal{A}$, let

$$\tau^\beta_{2k}(a_0, \ldots, a_{2k}) = (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_{2k}(a_0, da_1, da_2, da_3, \ldots, da_{2k}), \quad (V.1)$$

where $F^\beta_{2k}$ is the regularized trace form (IV.1).

Equivalently,

$$\tau^\beta_{2k}(a_0, \ldots, a_{2k}) = (-\beta)^{-k} \int_{\sigma_{2k}} \text{Tr}(\Gamma a_0 \Gamma da_1(t_1) \Gamma da_2(t_2) \cdots \Gamma da_{2k}(t_{2k}) e^{-\beta H}) dt. \quad (V.2)$$

Also let

$$\tau^\beta = (\tau^\beta_0, \tau^\beta_2, \tau^\beta_4, \ldots). \quad (V.3)$$

**Proposition V.2.** For $r \geq 0$, the norm (III.3) satisfies

$$\| \tau^\beta \|_r \leq \exp(r^2 \beta) \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}). \quad (V.4)$$

**Proof.** We use Proposition IV.2. Thus the norm (III.1) can be estimated for $\tau^\beta_{2k}$ from

$$|\tau^\beta_{2k}(a_0, \ldots, a_{2k})| \leq \frac{1}{(2k)!} \beta^k \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) \|a_0\| \prod_{j=1}^{2k} \|da_j\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(2k)!} \beta^k \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) \prod_{j=0}^{2k} \|a_0\| \prod_{j=0}^{2k} \|da_j\|.$$ 

Thus

$$\| \tau^\beta_{2k} \| \leq \frac{1}{(2k)!} \beta^k \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}),$$

from which (V.4) follows.

**Proposition V.3.**

$$\tau^\beta \in C^*_e(\mathcal{A}).$$
Proof. Since we have verified the entire growth condition, we need only check that \( \tau_{2k}^{\beta} \) is even under \( \Gamma \), namely \( \Gamma \tau_{2k}^{\beta} = \tau_{2k}^{\beta} \). However, this follows from the representation (V.2) and cyclicity of the trace.

**Theorem V.4** (The cocycle condition).

\[
\partial \tau^{\beta} = (b + B)\tau^{\beta} = 0. 
\]

**Proof.** We claim that

\[
-(B_{2k+2} \tau_{2k+2}^{\beta})(a_0, \ldots, a_{2k+1}) = \left\{ (-\beta)^{-k} F_{2k+1}^{\beta}(d a_0, d a_1^\Gamma, \ldots, d a_r^\Gamma, \ldots, d a_{2k+1}^{\Gamma^{2k+1}}) \right\}. \tag{V.5}
\]

**Verification of (V.5) for B:** Using formula (III.17) we get for \( n = 2k + 2, \)

\[
(B_n \tau_n^{\beta})(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j (\tau_n^\beta(1, a_{n-j}^\Gamma, \ldots, a_0^\Gamma, a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_{n-j-1})) 
- \tau_n^\beta(a_{n-j}^\Gamma, \ldots, a_0^\Gamma, a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_{n-j-1}, 1)).
\]

Substituting (V.1) and using \( d1 = 0 \) as well as Proposition IV.3, we obtain

\[
(B_n \tau_n^{\beta})(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = \left\{ (-\beta)^{-k} F_n^{\beta}(d a_0, d a_1^\Gamma, \ldots, d a_{n-j-1}^\Gamma, 1, d a_{n-j}^\Gamma, \ldots, d a_{n-1}^\Gamma) \right\}.
\]

Notice that the factors of \(-1\) have been used to bring \( da_0, \ldots, da_{n-j-1} \) in front, as \( (da)^\Gamma = -da^\Gamma \). Now it follows from Proposition IV.6 that

\[
(B_n \tau_n^{\beta})(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = \left\{ (-\beta)^{-k} F_{n-1}^{\beta}(d a_0, d a_1^\Gamma, \ldots, d a_{n-1}^\Gamma) \right\},
\]

as claimed in (V.5), \( n = 2k + 2. \)

**Verification of (V.5) for b:** We set \( n = 2k \) and calculate the terms in the sum of (III.18).

i) For \( j = 0, \)

\[
\tau_n^{\beta}(a_0 a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}) = (-\beta)^{-k} F_n^{\beta}(a_0 a_1, d a_2^\Gamma, d a_3^\Gamma, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^\Gamma).
\]

ii) For \( j = 1, \)

\[
\tau_n^{\beta}(a_0, a_1 a_2, a_3, \ldots) = (-\beta)^{-k} F_n^{\beta}(a_0, d a_1^\Gamma, d a_2^\Gamma, \ldots) 
+ (-\beta)^{-k} F_n^{\beta}(a_0, a_1 d a_2^\Gamma, d a_3^\Gamma, \ldots) 
+ (-\beta)^{-k} F_n^{\beta}(a_0, a_1 a_2^\Gamma, d a_3^\Gamma, \ldots) 
+ (\beta)^{-k} F_n^{\beta}(a_0, a_1 a_2 d a_3^\Gamma, \ldots),
\]

where we have used (IV.8).
iii) For $1 < j \leq n$,

$$
\tau^\beta_n(a_0, \ldots, a_j, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}) = (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d(a_j a_{j+1})^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T)
\quad = (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d a_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T)
\quad = (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d a_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T)
\quad + (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d a_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T)
\quad = (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d a_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T)
\quad + (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d a_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T)
\quad + (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d a_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T)
\quad + (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d a_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T)
\quad + (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_0, d a_1^T, \ldots, d a_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d a_{n+1}^T).
$$

iv) For $j = n+1$,

$$
\tau^\beta_n(a_j^T + a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}) = (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_n(a_j^T + a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}).
$$

Multiplying the terms in (i)–(iv) by $(-1)^j$ using (III.18), and summing yields

$$
(b_n \tau^\beta_n)(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}) = (-\beta)^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^j
\quad \times F^\beta_{n+1}(a_0, da_1^T, \ldots, da_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, da_{n+1}^T)
\quad + (-\beta)^{-k}(F_{\beta_n}(a_0, da_1^T, \ldots, da_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, da_{n+1}^T)
\quad - F_{\beta_n}(a_j^T + a_0, da_1^T, \ldots, da_{n+1}^T))
\quad = (-\beta)^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^j
\quad \times F^\beta_{n+1}(a_0, da_1^T, \ldots, da_j^T \cdot a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, da_{n+1}^T).
$$

Using (IV.12), (IV.6), and (II.14), we infer

$$
(b_n \tau^\beta_n)(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}) = (-\beta)^{-k} F^\beta_{n+1}(da_0, da_1^T, \ldots, da_j^T, \ldots, da_{n+1}^T),
$$

as claimed in (V.5). This completes the proof of Theorem V.4.

Remark 1. As explained in Sects. 2–3 and Sect. 7 of Connes [4], if a cocycle $\tau^\beta$ exists, then it defines a pairing between $H_+^e(\mathcal{A})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{A})$. See also [5] for elaboration of this point.

Remark 2. In fact, if $Q' = \beta^{1/2} Q$ and $d' a = i\ell' [Q', a]$, then for $n = 2k$,

$$
\tau^\beta_n(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = (-1)^k \int \text{Str}(a_0 d' a_1^T(t_1) \ldots d' a_n^T(t_n) e^{-\beta}) dt.
$$

Thus using $H' = \frac{1}{2\ell'} d' Q'$, we infer that

$$
\beta \frac{d}{d\beta} \tau^\beta_n(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = \frac{n}{2} \tau^\beta_n(a_0, \ldots, a_n)
\quad + (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^{n} F^\beta_{n+1}(a_0, d' a_1^T, \ldots, d' a_j^T, d' Q', d' a_{j+1}^T, \ldots, d' a_n^T),
$$

(V.7)
where the first term arises from differentiating \(d'a_\mu\) while the second one arises from differentiating \(e^{-H'}\). Define the cochain

\[
G^\beta_{2k-1}(a_0, \ldots, a_{2k-1})
\]

\[
= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^{j+k} F_{2k}(a_0, d'a^1, \ldots, d'a^j, Q', d'a^{j+1}, \ldots, d'a^{2k-1}).
\] (V.8)

We compute \(\delta G^\beta\) as above and obtain from (V.7) that

\[
\beta \left( \frac{d\tau^\beta}{d\beta} \right) = \delta G^\beta.
\] (V.9)

Hence the cohomology classes defined by \(\tau^\beta_n\) are independent of \(\beta\).
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